

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/025,816	12/26/2001	Richard Slobodnik	550-299	4369			
7:	590 12/07/2004		EXAM	NER			
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			TABONE J	TABONE JR, JOHN J			
1100 North Gle Arlington, VA	ebe Road, 8th Floor . 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
12g,			2133				

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3EST AVAILABLE COPY



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
P. D. Box 145

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/025,816	12/26/2001	Richard Slobodnik	550-299	4369		
7:	590 06/18/2004		EXAM	INER		
NIXON & VA	ANDERHYE P.C.		TABONE J	r, John J		
l 100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Allington, VA	22201		2133			

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JUN 2 2 2004

Technology Center 2100

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/025,816	SLOBODNIK ET AL.
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John J. Tabone, Jr.	2133
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maiting date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Feiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE	nely filed 5'will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. D. (15 U.S.C. & 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	abruary 2003.	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William William Control	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
	ciosiion requirement.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 2/4/03 is/are: a) △ acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		` '
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
3. Coples of the certified copies of the priorit		d in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	tine certified copies not received	5. j
·		
Attachment(s)		
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T (alongian) O	PTO 4133
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-67-2 663	6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Roy 1.04)		

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-34 have been examined.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of improper placement of "[Figure 2]" and should be removed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 8 objected to because of the following informalities: The claim should read: "...a processor core; wherein said processor core...". Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 8 is objected to because of lack of line indentation according to 35 CFR 1.75(i).
- 5. Claims 23 and 24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

 These claims improperly depend on claim 1. For purpose of examination the

 Examiner will interpret these claims as being dependent on claim 18. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2133

6. Claims 9-11,13,14 and 18-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9 and 26:

These claims are unclear because the limitation used "at least one memory" implies one memory or more, and "synthesized and a custom memory" always implies more than one. The two are inconsistent and renders the claims indefinite. For reason of examination the Examiner is reading this to imply "synthesized or a custom memory".

Claims 10 and 27:

The term "adapted" is unclear and, therefore, renders the claim indefinite.

It is not clear how the memory is adapted. Also "adapted" is not positive limitation.

Claims 11 and 14:

These claims are rejected because they depend on claim 10 and contain the same problems of indefiniteness.

Claims 28 and 31:

These claims are rejected because they depend on claim 27 and contain the same problems of indefiniteness.

Claims 13 and 30:

The claim limitation "in which of a plurality of different ways" is indefinite because it does not disclose the "different ways" a memory error is to be

Art Unit: 2133

reported. In addition, the limitation "in which of" is improperly used and poor grammar and also renders the claim indefinite.

Claim 18:

This claim sites the limitation "A method of testing <u>a</u> memory". Line 5 states "at least one memory". This is inconsistent with the preamble stating <u>a</u> memory and renders the claims indefinite. Therefore, line 5 should read: "said memory".

Claims 19-25,29 and 32-34:

These claims are rejected because they depend on claim 8 and contain the same problems of indefiniteness. As a result, all occurrences of "said at least one memory" should read "said memory".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-9, 13, 16, 17, 18-26, 30, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lo et al. (US-5661732) hereafter Lo.

Claims 1 and 18:

Lo teaches a ABIST micro-processor block which consists of a Micro-code
Array 10, the Registers 11a-11e, and the rest of the logic known as ABIST
engine 12. Lo discloses that the ABIST engine 12 (self-test controller) receives a
9 bit word 13 from a Microcode Array 10 which stores a set of test program
codes scanned-in prior to ABIST test (self-test controller configured by self-test

Art Unit: 2133

instruction). Lo also teaches the ABIST (Array Built-In Self-Test) (self-test controller) is a small programmable micro-processor used to test and characterize on-chip arrays (at least one memory). (Col. 3, lines 61-63; col. 4, lines 28-33; FIG. 1)

Claims 2 and 19:

Lo teaches that the ABIST engine 12 (self-test controller) receives a 9 bit word 13 from a Microcode Array 10 which stores a set of test program codes (plurality of self-test instructions) scanned-in prior to ABIST test. (Col. 4, lines 31-33). Lo further teaches the "sequences of memory tests" from the micro-programming examples starting in column 12, line 28 and ending column 16, line 11.

Claims 3 and 20:

Lo teaches the ABIST (Array Built-In Self-Test) 12 (self-test controller) is a small programmable micro-processor (processor) used to test and characterize (memory tests) on-chip arrays. Lo also teaches these arrays are <u>adaptable</u> (memory test can be changed) to state-of-the-art very/ultra large scale integration (VLSI or ULSI) chips which include the VLSI memory array elements 9 (different memories) which need to be self-tested. (Col. 3, lines 58-63).

Claims 4 and 21:

Lo teaches the programmable ABIST micro-processor block in Fig. 1 provides an improved method for testing and <u>characterizing</u> on-chip arrays in engineering, <u>manufacturing</u>, <u>and burn-in environments</u> (match fabrication characteristics) with programmable test patterns (different test needs), by

Art Unit: 2133

implementing the testing of arrays with two different logical views. (Col, 3, lines 52-56).

Claims 5 and 22:

Lo teaches of a WALKING/MARCHING pattern in FIG. 5 which shows two loops. Lo further discloses that the minor or inner loop makes sure all words (rows) have done their shift and the outer or major loop ensures that shifting has been done for all bit positions (columns). (Col. 10, lines 57-60).

Claims 6 and 23:

Lo teaches the Data Pattern Generation Logic 21 receives a 3 bit Data_cntl code, ch_abist_data.sub_ctrl(0:2), from the Micro-Code Array's field 16 to generated various data patterns for the Array-Under-Test (self-test instruction specifies data to be written to memory). (Col. 10, lines 10-13).

Claims 7 and 24:

"...self-test controller allow one or more of the following memory test operations to be performed:

- (iii) write specified data to memory locations having a checkerboard pattern of memory addresses;
- (iv) read data from memory locations having a checkerboard pattern of memory addresses"

Lo teaches of the reading and writing of a checkboard pattern with the following instructions: WO_CHKB: Initialize the whole Array With ZEROs; Load dataReg with (0101..0101); Write inverted data as address advances to form

Art Unit: 2133

CheckerBoard. Read CheckerBoard. Do the same with opposite data. (Col. 13, lines 7-10).

Claims 8 and 25:

Lo teaches the ABIST (Array Built-In Self-Test) 12 (self-test controller) is a small programmable micro-processor (processor) used to test and characterize on-chip arrays (on an integrated circuit). (Col. 3, lines 61-63).

Claims 9 and 26:

Lo teaches the memory arrays are adaptable to state-of-the-art very/ultra large scale integration (VLSI or ULSI) chips which include the VLSI memory array elements 9 (synthesized and custom memory) which need to be self-tested. (Col. 3, lines 58-60).

Claims 13 and 30:

Lo teaches data read out from the memory array also feed a bank of internal (within the array Macro) MISR registers (not shown) with feedback circuitry for signature generation (detect memory error). (Col. 4, lines 21-25). Claims 16 and 33:

Lo teaches that the ABIST engine 12 (self-test controller) receives a 9 bit word 13 from a Microcode Array 10 which stores a set of test program codes (self-test instruction) scanned-in (serially loaded) prior to ABIST test. (Col. 4, lines 31-33).

<u>Claims 17 and 34:</u>

Lo teaches that the ABIST engine 12 (self-test controller) receives a 9 bit word 13 from a Microcode Array 10 which stores a set of test program codes

Art Unit: 2133

(self-test instruction) scanned-in (external signal pin) prior to ABIST test. (Col. 4, lines 31-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 10, 11,14, 27, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo et al. (US-5661732) in view of Gold (US-2003/0167428 A1).

Claims 10 and 27:

Lo does not explicitly teach "interface circuit serving to adapted values and timings of signals passed between said self-test controller and said at least one memory to accommodate differing value and timing properties of said at least one memory." Gold teaches a memory address converter 24 (interface circuit) converts the physical address generated by the BIST engine 20 (self-test controller) to a corresponding logical address in the embedded memory 28 (memory). (Page 2, ¶ 17). Gold suggests the address converter 24 (interface circuit) may be adapted to support built-in self-repair of the embedded memory array 28 (at least one memory). (Page 2, ¶ 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lo's

Art Unit: 2133

ABIST engine 12 (self-test controller) to couple Gold's address converter 24 (interface circuit) between Lo's next address calculation logic 20 and the memory array elements 9. Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that Gold's address converter 24 (interface circuit), in being adapted to support built-in self-repair of the embedded memory array 28 would contain the necessary circuitry to synchronize the timing of the signals connected to the memory array 28. The artisan would have been motivated to believe so because it would enable Lo's ABIST engine 12 (self-test controller) to accommodate differing value and timing properties of a memory. The artisan also, would have been motivated to believe so because built-in self-repair circuits inherently adapt the repair circuit to the memory timing it is repairing through synchronization of the signals interfacing to the memory array. In this way the interface circuit of the instant application would already be included in Gold's address converter 24 (interface circuit).

Claims 11 and 28:

The motivation for combining Lo and Gold is per claims 10 and 27 rejection above. Gold teaches a memory address converter 24 (interface circuit) converts the physical address generated by the BIST engine 20 (address value generated by the self-test controller) to a corresponding <u>logical address</u> in the embedded memory 28 (memory). (Page 2, ¶ 17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lo's ABIST engine 12 (self-test controller) to couple Gold's address converter 24 (interface circuit) between Lo's next address calculation logic 20 and the memory

Art Unit: 2133

array elements 9. The artisan would have been motivated to do so because it would enable Lo's ABIST engine 12 (self-test controller) to access the logical addresses of the memory array elements 9.

Claims 14 and 31:

The motivation for combining Lo and Gold is per claims 10 and 27 rejection above. Lo does not explicitly teach of "a result data register included in the interface circuit". However, Lo does teach the data read out from the array also feed a bank of internal (within the array Macro) MISR registers (not shown) with feedback circuitry for signature generation. (Col. 4, lines 21-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lo's MISR registers to be included in Gold's memory address converter 24 (interface circuit). The artisan would have been motivated to do so because it would enable Gold's memory address converter 24 (interface circuit), already incorporated in Lo's ABIST micro-processor block, to capture the test result data.

9. Claims 12, 15, 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo et al. (US-5661732), hereafter Lo, in view of Correale, Jr. et al. (US-6001662), hereafter Correale.

Claims 12 and 29:

Lo does not explicitly teach the "specifying which memory to test via the self-test instruction". However, Lo does teach "State Machine" 24 which determines how many passes the micro-program has to be repeated for different

Art Unit: 2133

variations of operational parameters (self-test instruction) in testing the memory array elements 9 (plurality of memories). (Col. 4, lines 46-48). Correale teaches ABIST address counter 202 is coupled to a first memory array 205, a second memory array 206 and an "Nth" memory array 208 (plurality of memories). Correale also teaches that each memory array includes a generated address mask in order to access each memory array (specifying which memory to test via the self-test instruction). (FIG. 7, Col. 6, lines 29-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lo's state machine 24 to incorporated the address masking functions of Correale's ABIST address counter 202. The artisan would have been motivated to do so because this would enable Lo to specify which to plurality of memories test.

Claims 15 and 32:

Lo does not explicitly teach the "self-test instruction specifies a size of memory to be tested". However, Lo does teach "State Machine" 24 which determines how many passes the micro-program has to be repeated for different variations of operational parameters. (Col. 4, lines 46-48). Correale teaches an ABIST controller 92 is designed to work with a variety of different sized memory arrays (specifies a size of memory to be tested). Correale further teaches ABIST state machine 122 controls the number of iterations, the incrementing and decrementing of the addresses, when to examine array compare signals, and when to switch data patterns as well as read/write controls. Correale suggests no changes to ABIST state machine 122 are necessary to test multiple arrays of

Art Unit: 2133

different sizes. (Col. 6 lines 10-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lo's state machine 24 with Correale's state machine 122. The artisan would have been motivated to do so because this would enable Lo to test memories of different sizes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Tabone, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-8915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

> Albert DeCady Primary Examiner

John J. Tabone Jah J. Jahr J.

Examiner

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No.

10/025,816

Examiner

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SLOBODNIK ET AL.

Art Unit

2133

Page 1 of 1

John J. Tabone, Jr.

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	A	US-5,661,732	08-1997	Lo et al.	714/725
	В	US-2003/0167428	09-2003	Gold, Spencer	714/720
*	С	US-6,001,662 A	12-1999	Correale et al.	438/11
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	U\$-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-	•		

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
N					
0					
Р					
٥					
R	,				
S					
T					
	О Р О R	Country Code-Number-Kind Code N O P Q R	Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY N O P Q R	Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY Country N O P Q R	Country Code-Number-Kind Code MMI-YYYY Country Name N

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		feeling as and other Author Till Day College
├─	┼	Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Perlinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Petent and Tredeman Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 02042003

heet 1	of 1	ATTY. DO	CVET NA	SERIAL NO.			
INFORM	MATION DISCLOSURE CITATION				_		
_	CHAILON	550-29	9 n	10/025,816			
PE							
NE	everal shoets if necessary)	FICING DA	DNIK et al	GROUP			
0 4 2003	3)		•				
	<u> </u>	Decem	per 28, 2001	· 2818 2/	33		
EMARKER R	y .	11.6	PATENT DOCUMENTS				
SAME R			PAIENI DUCUMENIS			FILIN	G DA
ANITIAL T	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	JE APPE	3OPR
95	5,535,164 5,633,877	7/1996	Adams et al		 	 	
(V12)	2001/0011904 A1	5/1997 8/2001	Shephard, III et al Kaiser et al		 	-	
M111	6,001,662	12/1999	Correale, Jr. et al		 	├	—
XX	0,007,002	12/1999	Corregie, St. et al		 	 	
					 	 	
					 	-	
			 		-	 	
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					 		
					 		
		FOREIC	ON PATENT DOCUMENTS		·	TRANS	LATIC
140-	DOCUMENT	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	YES	N N
Wor	0 472 818	3/1992	EP				П
			· ·				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	07/157 2001						
	OTHER DOCU	MENTS (INCIU	ding Author, Title, Date, Per	tinent pages, et	(c.)		
							
							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	0,01						

Farm PTO-F8-A820 (Also PTO-1449)

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.